

Some people say governments should build sport facilities for professional athletes. Others believe that such facilities should be open to everyone. Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

Nowadays it is often claimed that governments must pay attention to professional athletes more than the public due to the competition which is-exists between different nations. Contrary to this popular belief, there are some schools of thought that using high quality facilities for the public is indispensable and governments should not just concentrate on a special group.

On the one hand, the major impetus should be towards extending benefits to a large population rather than restricting to a small privileged class. Investing in facilities have-has positive impacts on the public. For instance, doing regular exercises can reduce the risk of obesity contributing to various diseases such as heart attack and cancer. Therefore, governments should spend a tremendous amount of money for improving public sport facilities and building a plethora of places for exercising in order to prevent a sedentary lifestyle. The more activity, the healthier people are.

On the other hand, some people argue that providing sufficient sport facilities for athletes and enhancing their skills can affect all people. For example, athletes can influence the youngsters who are likely to pursue these professionals. Moreover, the values which have been achieved by athletes are undeniable. These athletes do bring glory, laurels, and fame to their countries by winning sport competitions.

On the basis of the points mentioned above, I am inclined to believe that although the accomplishments of athletes are important for a nation and brings some benefits for our sport national pride industry, public health is in the first priority which is important not only for individuals but also for the whole society to be successful in the future.